## **Assessment Sheets**

Site Name:	Oxford West End Renaissance Project			
Local Authority Area:	Oxford City Council			
Programme:	NAHP P&R New Growth Point Funding Access to Oxford (RTF) Transform Oxford			
Deliverability	West End Renaissance Project already underway.			
	This is short term project with homes being delivered during 2010 – 2015.			
Strategic Linkages:	<ul> <li>Key element in the New Growth Point delivery.</li> <li>Oxford and Central Oxfordshire is identified as a Diamond for Investment and Growth (Regional Economic Strategy).</li> <li>Oxford is a Regional Hub.</li> <li>SC links – creating a world class economy, economic success, good access to a range of jobs, good access to a range of housing, regeneration.</li> </ul>			
Housing / Affordable Housing	Total number of homes	830		
	No. of Affordable Homes	415		
	% of Affordable Homes	50%		
	Tenure Split	80/20		
Economic Development /	Construction Jobs	2,000		
number of jobs	Permanent Jobs	2,800-3,000		
	Apprenticeships	3%-5%		
Transport / Green Transport Proposals:	Car club Very low parking standards New pedestrian/cycle bridge over the River Thames			
Provision of Social Infrastructure:	The scheme is expected to provide: 15,000 m² of B1 office space 20,000 m² of public sector offices R&D space (amount not specified) 37,000 m² of retail floorspace 5,300 m² conference centre 450 units of student accommodation. 150 bed Hotel Redevelopment/Refurbishment of OCVC New leisure and cultural attractions.			
Additionality:	Low Carbon District Heating Scheme			
Catalyst for development / regeneration	The whole scheme is about renaissance and regeneration.			
Value for Money	tbc			
value for iviolity	100			

Lead Responsibility – Authority /Agency (identified contact) / landowner (if known):	Oxford City Council Oxfordshire County Council SEEDA West End Partnership			
	Christ Church HCA Liberty/Crown Estates Network Rail/First Great Western Nuffield College Oxford Cherwell Valley College University of Oxford			
Further Comments	The Oxford West End Area Action Plan was adopted in June 2008.			
Key Risks: (further detail provided in attached risk matrix)	The scheme is unviable due to the current economic market  Funding for infrastructure not available	Ensure constant independent viability assessment  Early discussions with the HCA / County / Highways Agency		

	Infrastructure	Scheme Costs	Committed Funding	Expected Funding	Potential Shortfall	
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	Gap funding from HCA if r				
/ Regeneration	(415 units)					
	Land Assembly	tbc	0	tbc	tbc	
Transport / Green	Railway Station Forecourt	3,500,000		3,225,000	2,775,000	
Transport	New Botley Road Bridge/	5,000,000			0	
	Transfer Deck			(Access to Oxford)		
	Bay Platform (Becket Street)	5,000,000		(Network Rail/FGW)	0	
	Car Club	83,000		83,000 (S106)	0	
	Transport (S106)	6,450,000		3,225,000 (S106)	3,225,000 (S106)	
	Transport Other					
Social Infrastructure	Primary Education	5,000,000 (land) 5,000,000 (build)		1,919,630 (S106)	8,080,370	
	Secondary Education	1,829,345		1,829,345 (S106)		
	6 <sup>th</sup> Form Education	380,095		380,095 (S106)		
	OCVC Refurbishment	tbc		(OCVC)	tbc	
	New PCT Development	tbc	0	tbc	tbc	
	Community Facilities	203,705	0	203,705 (S106)	0	
	Public Realm (\$106)	12,250,000		6,122,500 (S106)	6,122,500	
	Public Realm Other					
	Open Space Contributions	454,780		454,780 (S106)	0	
	Library (Westgate)	tbc		189,995 (S106)	tbc	
	Museum	9,395		9,395 (S106)	0	
	Play Area	tbc		87,320 (S106)	tbc	
	Public Art	6,122,500		6,122,500 (S106)	0	
	Recycling	77,275		77,275 (S106)	0	
	Indoor Sport	tbc		197,895 (S106)	tbc	
	Outdoor Sport	tbc		122,240 (S106)	tbc	

	Allotments – off site contribution				
Additionality	District Wide Heating Scheme	tbc	0	ESCA	0
Total		£31,160,095		£24,249,675	£26,202,870

Note: Section 106 figures taken from the emerging streamlined contributions SPD.

Risk Category	Risk Event	Proximity	Severity	Mitigation	Severity
Legal / Regulatory	Unable to acquire land at the Oxpens Site currently in British Rail Residuary Board.	Medium	Moderate	Ensure early dialogue with British Rail Residuary Board	Minor
Commercial	Demand for residential units is below expectation	Medium	Moderate	Early detailed liaison with market to ensure robust delivery plan	Minor
Commercial	The scheme is unviable due to the current economic market	Short	Major	Ensure constant independent viability assessment	Moderate
Financial	Funding for infrastructure not available	Medium	Major	Early discussions with the HCA / County Council / Highways Agency	Minor